

PLI-TV-PVR5

Exported from Holy-Writings.com on 2026-07-05 — 1 clipping

The Compendium The legal issues and their settling 1. The section with a succession on legal issues

In regard to legal issues arising from disputes: What is the forerunner? How many reasons are there? How many grounds? How many foundations? How many causes? How many roots? Through how many motives does one dispute? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes settled?

In regard to legal issues arising from accusations: What is the forerunner? How many reasons are there? How many grounds? How many foundations? How many causes? How many roots? Through how many motives does one accuse? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations settled?

In regard to legal issues arising from offenses: What is the forerunner? How many reasons are there? How many grounds? How many foundations? How many causes? How many roots? Through how many motives does one commit an offense? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from offenses settled?

In regard to legal issues arising from business: What is the forerunner? How many reasons are there? How many grounds? How many foundations? How many causes? How many roots? Through how many motives does one give rise to business? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from business settled?

“What is the forerunner of legal issues arising from disputes?” Desire is a forerunner, ill will is a forerunner, confusion is a forerunner, non-desire is a forerunner, non-ill will is a forerunner, non-confusion is a forerunner.

“How many reasons are there?” The eighteen grounds for schism. “How many grounds are there?” The eighteen grounds for schism. “How many foundations are there?” The eighteen grounds for schism. “How many causes are there?” Nine: there are three wholesome causes, three unwholesome causes, and three indeterminate causes. “How many roots are there?” Twelve. “Through how many motives does one dispute?” Through two: through a view that accords with the Teaching or through a view that is contrary to the Teaching. “Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes settled?” Through two of them: through resolution face-to-face and through majority decision.

“What is the forerunner of legal issues arising from accusations?” Desire is a forerunner, ill will is a forerunner, confusion is a forerunner, non-desire is a forerunner, non-ill will is a forerunner, non-confusion is a forerunner. “How many reasons are there?” The four failures. “How many grounds are there?” The four failures. “How many foundations are there?” The four failures. “How many causes are there?” Nine: there are three wholesome causes, three unwholesome causes, and three indeterminate causes.

“How many roots are there?” Fourteen. “Through how many motives does one accuse?” Through two: through action or through offense. “Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations settled?” Through four of them: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty.

“What is the forerunner of legal issues arising from offenses?” Desire is a forerunner, ill will is a forerunner, confusion is a forerunner, non-desire is a forerunner, non-ill will is a forerunner, non-confusion is a forerunner. “How many reasons are there?” The seven classes of offenses. “How many grounds are there?” The seven classes of offenses. “How many foundations are there?” The seven classes of offenses. “How many causes are there?” Six: three unwholesome causes and three indeterminate causes. “How many roots are there?” The six originations of offenses. “Through how many motives does one commit an offense?” Through six: through shamelessness, through ignorance, through being overcome by anxiety, through perceiving what is unallowable as allowable, through perceiving what is allowable as unallowable, through absentmindedness. “Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from offenses settled?” Through three of them: through resolution face-to-face and through acting according to what has been admitted, and through resolution face-to-face and through covering over as if with grass.

“What is the forerunner of legal issues arising from business?” Desire is a forerunner, ill will is a forerunner, confusion is a forerunner, non-desire is a forerunner, non-ill will is a forerunner, non-confusion is a forerunner. “How many reasons are there?” The four legal procedures. “How many grounds are there?” The four legal procedures. “How many foundations are there?” The four legal procedures. “How many causes are there?” Nine: there are three wholesome causes, three unwholesome causes, and three indeterminate causes. “How many roots are there?” One: The Sangha. “Through how many motives does one give rise to business?” Through two: through a motion or through getting permission. “Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from business settled?” Through one: through resolution face-to-face.

How many principles for settling are there? Seven: resolution face-to-face, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, majority decision, further penalty, and covering over as if with grass.

With a different presentation, might the seven principles for settling become ten, and the ten become seven? They might.

How? Legal issues arising from disputes are settled through two principles, legal issues arising from accusations are settled through four principles, legal issues arising from offenses are settled through three principles, legal issues arising from business are settled through one principle. In this way, the seven principles for settling become ten, and the ten become seven.

The sixth section on “a different presentation” is finished.

2. The section on “in common” How many of the principles for settling legal issues arising from disputes do the monks and the nuns have in common? How many do they not have in common? How many of the principles for settling legal issues arising from accusations do the monks and the nuns have in common? How many do they not have in common? How many of the principles for settling legal issues arising from offenses do the monks and the nuns have in common? How many do they not have in common? How many of the principles for settling legal issues arising from business do the monks and the nuns have in common? How many do they not have in common?

They have two principles for settling legal issues arising from disputes in common: resolution face-to-face and majority decision. And they have five not in common: resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, and covering over as if with grass.

They have four principles for settling legal issues arising from accusations in common: resolution face-to-face, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, and further penalty. And they have three not in common: majority decision, acting according to what has been admitted, and covering over as if with grass.

They have three principles for settling legal issues arising from offenses in common: resolution face-to-face, acting according to what has been admitted, and covering over as if with grass. And they have four not in common: majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, and further penalty.

They have one principle for settling legal issues arising from business in common: resolution face-to-face. And they have six not in common: majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, and covering over as if with grass.

The seventh section on “in common” is finished.

3. The section on “belonging to that” How many principles for settling are there that belong to legal issues arising from disputes? How many belong to something else? How many principles for settling are there that belong to legal issues arising from accusations? How many belong to something else? How many principles for settling are there that belong to legal issues arising from offenses? How many belong to something else? How many principles for settling are there that belong to legal issues arising from business? How many belong to something else?

There are two principles for settling that belong to legal issues arising from disputes: resolution face-to-face and majority decision. And there are five that belong to something else: resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further

penalty, and covering over as if with grass.

There are four principles for settling that belong to legal issues arising from accusations: resolution face-to-face, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, and further penalty. And there are three that belong to something else: majority decision, acting according to what has been admitted, and covering over as if with grass.

There are three principles for settling that belong to legal issues arising from offenses: resolution face-to-face, acting according to what has been admitted, and covering over as if with grass. And there are four that belong to something else: majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, and further penalty.

There is one principle for settling that belongs to legal issues arising from business: resolution face-to-face. And there are six that belong to something else: majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, and covering over as if with grass.

The eighth section on “belonging to that” is finished

4. The section on different principles for settling used in common One principle for settling is used in common with another principle for settling, and one principle for settling is not used in common with another principle for settling. It may be that one principle for settling is used in common with another principle for settling, and it may be that one principle for settling is not used in common with another principle for settling.

How may it be that one principle for settling is used in common with another principle for settling? How may it be that one principle for settling is not used in common with another principle for settling? Majority decision is used in common with resolution face-to-face. It is not used in common with resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, or covering over as if with grass.

Resolution through recollection is used in common with resolution face-to-face. It is not used in common with resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, or majority decision.

Resolution because of past insanity is used in common with resolution face-to-face. It is not used in common with acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, majority decision, or resolution through recollection.

Acting according to what has been admitted is used in common with resolution face-to-face. It is not used in common with further penalty, covering over as if with grass, majority decision, resolution through recollection, or resolution because of past insanity.

Further penalty is used in common with resolution face-to-face. It is not used in common with covering over as if with grass, majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, or acting according to what has been admitted.

Covering over as if with grass is used in common with resolution face-to-face. It is not used in common with majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, or further penalty.

The ninth section on different principles for settling used in common is finished.

5. The section on different principles for settling belonging with each other One principle for settling belongs with another principle for settling, and one principle for settling does not belong with another principle for settling. It may be that one principle for settling belongs with another principle for settling, and it may be that one principle for settling does not belong with another principle for settling.

How may it be that one principle for settling belongs with another principle for settling? How may it be that one principle for settling does not belong with another principle for settling? Majority decision belongs with resolution face-to-face. It does not belong with resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, or covering over as if with grass.

Resolution through recollection belongs with resolution face-to-face. It does not belong with resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, or majority decision.

Resolution because of past insanity belongs with resolution face-to-face. It does not belong with acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, majority decision, or resolution through recollection.

Acting according to what has been admitted belongs with resolution face-to-face. It does not belong with further penalty, covering over as if with grass, majority decision, resolution through recollection, or resolution because of past insanity.

Further penalty belongs with resolution face-to-face. It does not belong with covering over as if with grass, majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, or acting according to what has been admitted.

Covering over as if with grass belongs with resolution face-to-face. It does not belong with majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, or further penalty.

The tenth section on different principles for settling belonging with each other is finished.

6. The section on “is a principle for settling also resolution face-to-face?” Is a principle for settling also resolution face-to-face, and is resolution face-to-face also a principle for settling? Is a principle for settling also a majority decision, and is a majority decision also a principle for settling? Is a principle for settling also resolution through recollection, and is resolution through recollection also a principle for settling? Is a principle for settling also resolution because of past insanity, and is resolution because of past insanity also a principle for settling? Is a principle for settling also acting according to what has been admitted, and is acting according to what has been admitted also a principle for settling? Is a principle for settling also a further penalty, and is a further penalty also a principle for settling? Is a principle for settling also covering over as if with grass, and is covering over as if with grass also a principle for settling?

Majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, and covering over as if with grass: these principles for settling are principles for settling, but they are not resolution face-to-face. Resolution face-to-face is both a principle for settling and also resolution face-to-face.

Resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, and resolution face-to-face: these principles for settling are principles for settling, but they are not majority decision. Majority decision is both a principle for settling and also majority decision.

Resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, resolution face-to-face, and majority decision: these principles for settling are principles for settling, but they are not resolution through recollection. Resolution through recollection is both a principle for settling and also resolution through recollection.

Acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, resolution face-to-face, majority decision, and resolution through recollection: these principles for settling are principles for settling, but they are not resolution because of past insanity. Resolution because of past insanity is both a principle for settling and also resolution because of past insanity.

Further penalty, covering over as if with grass, resolution face-to-face, majority decision, resolution through recollection, and resolution because of past insanity: these principles for settling are principles for settling, but they are not acting according to what has been admitted. Acting according to what has been admitted is both a principle for settling and also acting according to what has been admitted.

Covering over as if with grass, resolution face-to-face, majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, and acting according to what has been admitted: these principles for settling are principles for settling, but they are not further penalty. Further penalty is both a principle for settling and also further penalty.

Resolution face-to-face, majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, and further penalty: these principles for settling are principles for settling, but they are not covering over as if with grass. Covering over as if with grass is both a principle for settling and also covering over as if with grass.

The eleventh section on “is a principle for settling also resolution face-to-face?” is finished.

7. The section on resolution Is a resolution also resolution face-to-face, and is resolution face-to-face also a resolution? Is a resolution also a majority decision, and is a majority decision also a resolution? Is a resolution also resolution through recollection, and is resolution through recollection also a resolution? Is a resolution also resolution because of past insanity, and is resolution because of past insanity also a resolution? Is a resolution also acting according to what has been admitted, and is acting according to what has been admitted also a resolution? Is a resolution also a further penalty, and is a further penalty also a resolution? Is a resolution also covering over as if with grass, and is covering over as if with grass also a resolution?

A resolution may be resolution face-to-face, or it may not be resolution face-to-face. Resolution face-to-face is both a resolution and also resolution face-to-face.

A resolution may be a majority decision, or it may not be a majority decision. A majority decision is both a resolution and also a majority decision.

A resolution may be resolution through recollection, or it may not be resolution through recollection. Resolution through recollection is both a resolution and also resolution through recollection.

A resolution may be resolution because of past insanity, or it may not be resolution because of past insanity. Resolution because of past insanity is both a resolution and also resolution because of past insanity.

A resolution may be acting according to what has been admitted, or it may not be acting according to what has been admitted. Acting according to what has been admitted is both a resolution and also acting according to what has been admitted.

A resolution may be a further penalty, or it may not be a further penalty. A further penalty is both a resolution and also a further penalty.

A resolution may be covering over as if with grass, or it may not be covering over as if with grass. Covering over as if with grass is both a resolution and

also covering over as if with grass.

The twelfth section on resolution is finished.

8. The section on the wholesome Is resolution face-to-face wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate? Is a majority decision wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate? Is resolution through recollection wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate? Is resolution because of past insanity wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate? Is acting according to what has been admitted wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate? Is a further penalty wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate? Is covering over as if with grass wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate?

Resolution face-to-face may be wholesome or indeterminate; resolution face-to-face is never unwholesome. A majority decision may be wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate. Resolution through recollection may be wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate. Resolution because of past insanity may be wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate. Acting according to what has been admitted may be wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate. A further penalty may be wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate. Covering over as if with grass, may be wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate.

Are legal issues arising from disputes wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate? Are legal issues arising from accusations wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate? Are legal issues arising from offenses wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate? Are legal issues arising from business wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate?

Legal issues arising from disputes may be wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate. Legal issues arising from accusations may be wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate. Legal issues arising from offenses may be unwholesome or indeterminate; legal issues arising from offenses are never wholesome. Legal issues arising from business may be wholesome, unwholesome, or indeterminate.

The thirteenth section on the wholesome is finished.

9. The section on “where”, the section on questioning Resolution face-to-face is appropriate where majority decision is appropriate. Majority decision is appropriate where resolution face-to-face is appropriate. But there, resolution through recollection is not appropriate, nor is resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, or covering over as if with grass.

Resolution face-to-face is appropriate where resolution through recollection is appropriate. Resolution through recollection is appropriate where resolution face-to-face is appropriate. But there, resolution because of past insanity is not appropriate, nor is acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, or majority decision.

Resolution face-to-face is appropriate where resolution because of past

insanity is appropriate. Resolution because of past insanity is appropriate where resolution face-to-face is appropriate. But there, acting according to what has been admitted is not appropriate, nor is further penalty, covering over as if with grass, majority decision, or resolution through recollection.

Resolution face-to-face is appropriate where acting according to what has been admitted is appropriate. Acting according to what has been admitted is appropriate where resolution face-to-face is appropriate. But there, further penalty is not appropriate, nor is covering over as if with grass, majority decision, resolution through recollection, or resolution because of past insanity.

Resolution face-to-face is appropriate where further penalty is appropriate. Further penalty is appropriate where resolution face-to-face is appropriate. But there, covering over as if with grass is not appropriate, nor is majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, or acting according to what has been admitted.

Resolution face-to-face is appropriate where covering over as if with grass is appropriate. Covering over as if with grass is appropriate where resolution face-to-face is appropriate. But there, majority decision is not appropriate, nor is resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, or further penalty.

Where there is majority decision, there is resolution face-to-face. Where there is resolution face-to-face, there is majority decision. But there is no resolution through recollection there, nor resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, or covering over as if with grass.

Where there is resolution through recollection, there is resolution face-to-face. Where there is resolution face-to-face, there is resolution through recollection. But there is no resolution because of past insanity there, nor acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, or majority decision. Resolution face-to-face to be done as the basis ...

Where there is covering over as if with grass, there is resolution face-to-face. Where there is resolution face-to-face, there is covering over as if with grass. But there is no majority decision there, nor resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, or further penalty.

The successive permutation series. The fourteenth section on “where” is finished.

10. The section on settling, the section on responding On an occasion when a legal issue is being resolved through resolution face-to-face and majority decision, then, where majority decision is appropriate, there resolution face-to-face is appropriate, and where resolution face-to-face is appropriate, there majority decision is appropriate. But there resolution through

recollection is not appropriate, nor is resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, or covering over as if with grass.

On an occasion when a legal issue is being resolved through resolution face-to-face and resolution through recollection, then, where resolution through recollection is appropriate, there resolution face-to-face is appropriate, and where resolution face-to-face is appropriate, there resolution through recollection is appropriate. But there resolution because of past insanity is not appropriate, nor is acting according to what has been admitted, further penalty, covering over as if with grass, or majority decision.

On an occasion when a legal issue is being resolved through resolution face-to-face and resolution because of past insanity, then, where resolution because of past insanity is appropriate, there resolution face-to-face is appropriate, and where resolution face-to-face is appropriate, there resolution because of past insanity is appropriate. But there acting according to what has been admitted is not appropriate, nor is further penalty, covering over as if with grass, majority decision, or resolution through recollection.

On an occasion when a legal issue is being resolved through resolution face-to-face and acting according to what has been admitted, then, where acting according to what has been admitted is appropriate, there resolution face-to-face is appropriate, and where resolution face-to-face is appropriate, there acting according to what has been admitted is appropriate. But there further penalty is not appropriate, nor is covering over as if with grass, majority decision, resolution through recollection, or resolution because of past insanity.

On an occasion when a legal issue is being resolved through resolution face-to-face and further penalty, then, where further penalty is appropriate, there resolution face-to-face is appropriate, and where resolution face-to-face is appropriate, there further penalty is appropriate. But there covering over as if with grass is not appropriate, nor is majority decision, resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, or acting according to what has been admitted.

On an occasion when a legal issue is being resolved through resolution face-to-face and covering over as if with grass, then, where covering over as if with grass is appropriate, there resolution face-to-face is appropriate, and where resolution face-to-face is appropriate, there covering over as if with grass is appropriate. But there majority decision is not appropriate, nor is resolution through recollection, resolution because of past insanity, acting according to what has been admitted, or further penalty.

The fifteenth section on settling is finished.

11. The section on connection “Are ‘legal issue’ and ‘principle for settling’ connected or disconnected? Is it possible to completely separate them and point to their difference?”

“‘Legal issue’ and ‘principle for settling’ are disconnected, not connected, and it’s possible to completely separate them and point to their difference”: they should be told not to say this. “‘Legal issue’ and ‘principle for settling’ are connected, not disconnected, and it’s impossible to completely separate them and point to their difference. Why’s that? Didn’t the Buddha say that there are four kinds of legal issues and seven principles for settling? Legal issues are settled through the principles for settling; the principles for settling are settled through legal issues. In this way they are connected, not disconnected, and it’s impossible to completely separate them and point to their difference.”

The sixteenth section on connection is finished.

12. The section on settling Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes settled? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations settled? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from offenses settled? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from business settled?

Legal issues arising from disputes are settled through two principles: through resolution face-to-face and through majority decision.

Legal issues arising from accusations are settled through four principles: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty.

Legal issues arising from offenses are settled through three principles: through resolution face-to-face, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass.

Legal issues arising from business are settled through one principle: through resolution face-to-face.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes and legal issues arising from accusations settled? Through five: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes and legal issues arising from offenses settled? Through four: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes and legal issues arising from business settled? Through two: through resolution face-to-face, and through majority decision.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations and legal issues arising from offenses settled? Through six: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what

has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations and legal issues arising from business settled? Through four: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from offenses and legal issues arising from business settled? Through three: through resolution face-to-face, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes, legal issues arising from accusations, and legal issues arising from offenses settled? Through seven: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes, legal issues arising from accusations, and legal issues arising from business settled? Through five: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations, legal issues arising from offenses, and legal issues arising from business settled? Through six: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes, legal issues arising from accusations, legal issues arising from offenses, and legal issues arising from business settled? Through seven: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass.

The seventeenth section on settling is finished.

13. The section on settling and not settling Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes settled, and through how many principles are they not settled? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations settled, and through how many principles are they not settled? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from offenses settled, and through how many principles are they not settled? Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from business settled, and through how many principles are they not settled?

Legal issues arising from disputes are settled through two principles: through resolution face-to-face, and through majority decision. It is not settled through five principles: through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass.

Legal issues arising from accusations are settled through four principles: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty. It is not settled through three principles: through majority decision, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass.

Legal issues arising from offenses are settled through three principles: through resolution face-to-face, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass. It is not settled through four principles: through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty.

Legal issues arising from business are settled through one principle: through resolution face-to-face. It is not settled through six principles: through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes and legal issues arising from accusations settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through five principles: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty. They are not settled through two principles: through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes and legal issues arising from offenses settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through four principles: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass. They are not settled through three principles: through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes and legal issues arising from business settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through two principles: through resolution face-to-face, and through majority decision. They are not settled through five principles: through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations and legal issues arising from offenses settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through six principles: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass. They are not settled through one principle: through majority decision.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations and legal issues arising from business settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through four principles: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty. They are not settled through three principles: through majority decision, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from offenses and legal issues arising from business settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through three principles: through resolution face-to-face, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass. They are not settled through four principles: through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes, legal issues arising from accusations, and legal issues arising from offenses settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through seven principles: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes, legal issues arising from accusations, and legal issues arising from business settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through five principles: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty. They are not settled through two principles: through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from accusations, legal issues arising from offenses, and legal issues arising from business settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through six principles: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty,

and through covering over as if with grass. They are not settled through one principle: through majority decision.

Through how many principles for settling are legal issues arising from disputes, legal issues arising from accusations, legal issues arising from offenses, and legal issues arising from business settled? Through how many principles are they not settled? They are settled through seven principles: through resolution face-to-face, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, and through covering over as if with grass.

The eighteenth section on settling and not settling is finished.

14. The section on principles for settling and legal issues Are principles for settling settled through principles for settling? Are principles for settling settled through legal issues? Are legal issues settled through principles for settling? Are legal issues settled through legal issues?

It may be that principles for settling are settled through principles for settling; it may be that principles for settling are not settled through principles for settling. It may be that principles for settling are settled through legal issues; it may be that principles for settling are not settled through legal issues. It may be that legal issues are settled through principles for settling; it may be that legal issues are not settled through principles for settling. It may be that legal issues are settled through legal issues; it may be that legal issues are not settled through legal issues.

How may it be that principles for settling are settled through principles for settling? And how may it be that principles for settling are not settled through principles for settling? A majority decision is settled through resolution face-to-face. It is not settled through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, or through covering over as if with grass.

Resolution through recollection is settled through resolution face-to-face. It is not settled through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, through covering over as if with grass, or through majority decision.

Resolution because of past insanity is settled through resolution face-to-face. It is not settled through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, through covering over as if with grass, through majority decision, or through resolution through recollection.

Acting according to what has been admitted is settled through resolution face-to-face. It is not settled through further penalty, through covering over as if with grass, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, or through resolution because of past insanity.

A further penalty is settled through resolution face-to-face. It is not settled through covering over as if with grass, through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, or through acting according to what has been admitted.

Covering over as if with grass is settled through resolution face-to-face. It is not settled through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, or through further penalty.

How may it be that principles for settling are settled through legal issues? How may it be that principles for settling are not settled through legal issues? Resolution face-to-face is not settled through a legal issue arising from a dispute, a legal issue arising from an accusation, or a legal issue arising from an offense. It is settled through a legal issue arising from business.

A majority decision is not settled through a legal issue arising from a dispute, a legal issue arising from an accusation, or a legal issue arising from an offense. It is settled through a legal issue arising from business.

Resolution through recollection is not settled through a legal issue arising from a dispute, a legal issue arising from an accusation, or a legal issue arising from an offense. It is settled through a legal issue arising from business.

Resolution because of past insanity is not settled through a legal issue arising from a dispute, a legal issue arising from an accusation, or a legal issue arising from an offense. It is settled through a legal issue arising from business.

Acting according to what has been admitted is not settled through a legal issue arising from a dispute, a legal issue arising from an accusation, or a legal issue arising from an offense. It is settled through a legal issue arising from business.

A further penalty is not settled through a legal issue arising from a dispute, a legal issue arising from an accusation, or a legal issue arising from an offense. It is settled through a legal issue arising from business.

Covering over as if with grass is not settled through a legal issue arising from a dispute, a legal issue arising from an accusation, or a legal issue arising from an offense. It is settled through a legal issue arising from business.

How may it be that legal issues are settled through principles for settling? How may it be that legal issues are not settled through principles for settling? Legal issues arising from disputes are settled through resolution face-to-face and through majority decision. They are not settled through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, or

through covering over as if with grass.

Legal issues arising from accusations are settled through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty. They are not settled through majority decision, through acting according to what has been admitted, or through covering over as if with grass.

Legal issues arising from offenses are settled through resolution face-to-face, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass. They are not settled through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, or through further penalty.

Legal issues arising from business are settled through resolution face-to-face. They are not settled through majority decision, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, through acting according to what has been admitted, through further penalty, or through covering over as if with grass.

How may it be that legal issues are settled through legal issues? How may it be that legal issues are not settled through legal issues? Legal issues arising from disputes are not settled through legal issues arising from disputes, through legal issues arising from accusations, or through legal issues arising from offenses. They are settled through legal issues arising from business.

Legal issues arising from accusations are not settled through legal issues arising from disputes, through legal issues arising from accusations, or through legal issues arising from offenses. They are settled through legal issues arising from business.

Legal issues arising from offenses are not settled through legal issues arising from disputes, through legal issues arising from accusations, or through legal issues arising from offenses. They are settled through legal issues arising from business.

Legal issues arising from business are not settled through legal issues arising from disputes, through legal issues arising from accusations, or through legal issues arising from offenses. They are settled through legal issues arising from business.

The six principles for settling and the four legal issues are settled through resolution face-to-face. Resolution face-to-face is not settled through anything.

The nineteenth section on principles for settling and legal issues is finished.

15. The section on causing to originate Which of the four legal issues causes legal issues arising from disputes to originate? None of them. Nevertheless, the four legal issues are produced from legal issues arising from disputes. How is that? It may be that monks are disputing, saying, "This is the Teaching", "This is contrary to the Teaching", "This is the Monastic

Law”, “This is contrary to the Monastic Law”, “This was spoken by the Buddha”, “This wasn’t spoken by the Buddha”, “This was practiced by the Buddha”, “This wasn’t practiced by the Buddha”, “This was laid down by the Buddha”, “This wasn’t laid down by the Buddha”, “This is an offense”, “This isn’t an offense”, “This is a light offense”, “This is a heavy offense”, “This is a curable offense”, “This is an incurable offense”, “This is a grave offense”, or “This is a minor offense.” In regard to this, whatever there is of quarreling, arguing, conflict, disputing, variety in opinion, difference in opinion, heated speech, or strife—this is called a legal issue arising from a dispute. When, during a legal issue arising from a dispute, the Sangha disputes, there is a legal issue arising from a dispute. When one who is disputing makes an accusation, there is a legal issue arising from an accusation. When one who is accusing commits an offense, there is a legal issue arising from an offense. When the Sangha does a legal procedure because of that offense, there is a legal issue arising from business.

Which of the four legal issues causes legal issues arising from accusations to originate? None of them. Nevertheless, the four legal issues are produced from legal issues arising from accusations. How is that? It may be that monks accuse a monk of failure in morality, failure in conduct, failure in view, or failure in livelihood. In regard to this, whatever there is of accusations, accusing, allegations, blame, taking sides because of friendship, taking part in the accusation, or supporting the accusation—this is called a legal issue arising from an accusation. When, during a legal issue arising from an accusation, the Sangha disputes, there is a legal issue arising from a dispute. When one who is disputing makes an accusation, there is a legal issue arising from an accusation. When one who is accusing commits an offense, there is a legal issue arising from an offense. When the Sangha does a legal procedure because of that offense, there is a legal issue arising from business.

Which of the four legal issues causes legal issues arising from offenses to originate? None of them. Nevertheless, the four legal issues are produced from legal issues arising from offenses. How is that? There are legal issues arising from offenses because of the five classes of offenses, and there are legal issues arising from offenses because of the seven classes of offenses—these are called legal issues arising from offenses. When, during a legal issue arising from an offense, the Sangha disputes, there is a legal issue arising from a dispute. When one who is disputing makes an accusation, there is a legal issue arising from an accusation. When one who is accusing commits an offense, there is a legal issue arising from an offense. When the Sangha does a legal procedure because of that offense, there is a legal issue arising from business.

Which of the four legal issues causes legal issues arising from business to originate? None of them. Nevertheless, the four legal issues are produced from legal issues arising from business. How is that? Whatever is the duty or the business of the Sangha—a legal procedure consisting of getting permission, a

legal procedure consisting of one motion, a legal procedure consisting of one motion and one announcement, a legal procedure consisting of one motion and three announcements—this is called a legal issue arising from business. When, during a legal issue arising from business, the Sangha disputes, there is a legal issue arising from a dispute. When one who is disputing makes an accusation, there is a legal issue arising from an accusation. When one who is accusing commits an offense, there is a legal issue arising from an offense. When the Sangha does a legal procedure because of that offense, there is a legal issue arising from business.

The twentieth section on causing to originate is finished.

16. The section on “belonging to” “To which of the four legal issues do legal issues arising from disputes belong? Which legal issues do they depend on? Which legal issues are they included in? Which legal issues are they grouped with?”

To which of the four legal issues do legal issues arising from accusations belong? Which legal issues do they depend on? Which legal issues are they included in? Which legal issues are they grouped with?

To which of the four legal issues do legal issues arising from offenses belong? Which legal issues do they depend on? Which legal issues are they included in? Which legal issues are they grouped with?

To which of the four legal issues do legal issues arising from business belong? Which legal issues do they depend on? Which legal issues are they included in? Which legal issues are they grouped with?

Legal issues arising from disputes belong to legal issues arising from disputes; they depend on legal issues arising from disputes; they are included in legal issues arising from disputes; they are grouped with legal issues arising from disputes.

Legal issues arising from accusations belong to legal issues arising from accusations; they depend on legal issues arising from accusations; they are included in legal issues arising from accusations; they are grouped with legal issues arising from accusations.

Legal issues arising from offenses belong to legal issues arising from offenses; they depend on legal issues arising from offenses; they are included in legal issues arising from offenses; they are grouped with legal issues arising from offenses.

Legal issues arising from business belong to legal issues arising from business; they depend on legal issues arising from business; they are included in legal issues arising from business; they are grouped with legal issues arising from business.

To how many of the seven principles for settling do legal issues arising from disputes belong? On how many principles for settling do they depend? In how many principles for settling are they included? With how many principles for

settling are they grouped? Through how many principles for settling are they settled?

To how many of the seven principles for settling do legal issues arising from accusations belong? On how many principles for settling do they depend? In how many principles for settling are they included? With how many principles for settling are they grouped? Through how many principles for settling are they settled?

To how many of the seven principles for settling do legal issues arising from offenses belong? On how many principles for settling do they depend? In how many principles for settling are they included? With how many principles for settling are they grouped? Through how many principles for settling are they settled?

To how many of the seven principles for settling do legal issues arising from business belong? On how many principles for settling do they depend? In how many principles for settling are they included? With how many principles for settling are they grouped? Through how many principles for settling are they settled?

Legal issues arising from disputes belong to two principles for settling; they depend on two principles for settling; they are included in two principles for settling; they are grouped with two principles for settling; they are settled through two principles for settling: through resolution face-to-face and through majority decision.

Legal issues arising from accusations belong to four principles for settling; they depend on four principles for settling; they are included in four principles for settling; they are grouped with four principles for settling; they are settled through four principles for settling: through resolution face-to-face, through resolution through recollection, through resolution because of past insanity, and through further penalty.

Legal issues arising from offenses belong to three principles for settling; they depend on three principles for settling; they are included in three principles for settling; they are grouped with three principles for settling; they are settled through three principles for settling: through resolution face-to-face, through acting according to what has been admitted, and through covering over as if with grass.

Legal issues arising from business belong to one principle for settling; they depend on one principle for settling; they are included in one principle for settling; they are grouped with one principle for settling; they are settled through one principle for settling: through resolution face-to-face.”

The twenty-first section on “belonging to” is finished.

The legal issues and their settling are finished.

This is the summary:

“Legal issues, a different presentation, And in common, belonging to;
Principles for settling used in common, Principles for settling that belong
with that.

Principle for settling is also resolution face-to-face, On resolution, and on
the wholesome; Where, on settling, on connection, On settling, and on not
settling; And on principles for settling and legal issues, Origination, and
belonging to.”

— PLI-TV-PVR5 — Bhikkhu Brahmali (CC0-1.0)